G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-02
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 80.

Serial Nos. 1–6.

Papers Filed.

Month ~~August~~ 1904

SUBJECT.

Directs the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Lakshmi Devi Temple at Varanakki Village to the possession of the poojari of the above Temple in Chemmarayapatna Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Inquiry Department

Note

Subject :- Restoration of the Inam lands belonging to the Lakshmidevi Temple at Narasipura in the Channarayapatna Taluk.

Reference :- Letter no $\frac{23}{252}$ dated 18th July 1904 from the Dy Commr Hassan District.

The Lakshmidevi Temple at Narasipura in the Channarayapatna Taluk is endowed with the* marginally noted Inam and Kandayam lands.

A

			A. G.	Assessment	Jodi
Inam lands	No 2.	Dry	1-34	1-12-0	3-4-0
	8.	Dry	3-34.	3-8-0	
	49.	Wet	0-33	6-0-0	
	Total		6-21	11-4-0.	3-4-0

The present Enquiry is Subsequent alias Supplementary. On the 25th

(2)

			A	g	Assessment
Kandayam Lands.	No 52.	Wet.	0	32	Rs 5-0-0
	63.	Wet.	0	21	3-8-0
	118	Wet.	0	16	2-12-0
	138	Wet.	4	26	30-0-0
	139	Wet.	4	2	22-0-0
		Total	10	17	63-4-0

November 1896 our Resident Surgeon, the then Assistant of the Chinna-mayapatnam Taluk visited

the temple and having found the building in a disorganised state, dispossessed the Pujaris of the Temple lands which had been in his enjoyment, and made the following arrangements which are now in force :—

(i) The 2 Survey nos viz 138 and 139 assessed at Rs 52 (which were Kandayam lands) were left in the possession of the Pujaris on condition of his devoting out of their income Rs 30 per annum for Poditurai &c in the temple and keeping Rs 70-4-0 annually for the repairs of the Institution. A muchulika was taken from him to that effect B

(ii) The remaining 6 members

were leased out by public auction as noted below :—

			Kandis	Gutta payable in cash
no 52. Wet	A 4-32	for	3—"	"—"—"
" 118	" 4-16	"	1½—"	"—"—"
" 49	" 4-33	"	2½—"	"—"—"
" 63	" 4-21	"	"	6—12—"
" 2	Dry 1-34	"	"	4—4—"
" 8	" 3-34	"	"	5—8—"
Total			7—"	16—8—"

The highest bidder for the first three numbers was one Patil Ramjimdesgonda and for the last three Rajari Narasimhappa—

2. This arrangement was reported to the Dy Commissioner, stating that it would bring an annual income of Rs 128-12-" as noted in the margin; and that excluding Rs 12-3-9 payable to Govt on these lands there would remain a sum of Rs 116-8-3 as a saving annually which might be utilized for the

Rs 70-4-" is the limit from the Rajari on the two Kandayam lands (nos 1, 3 & 139)
42-"-" value of 7 candies of kandy on the 3 lands leased out by public auction (nos 52—118—49)
16-8-" the limit in cash on the 3 lands leased out by public auction (nos 63-2-8)
128-12-"

repairs of the Institution. Mr B. G. Krishnaiyar, the then Dy Commissioner, approved of the arrangements made by the Amildar, but the matter did not come to the notice of Govt till now. The agreement on a stamped paper was accordingly taken from the Pujari on the 5th March 1897, binding him to pay for a period of 5 years Rs 116-12-0 annually as a lease on the 5 lands as detailed above, of which Rs 30 were to be utilized by him for Paditeruva &c, the balance viz Rs 86-12-0, being paid in cash into the Treasury. By this arrangement a sum of Rs 116-8-3 is being saved every year since 1896-97 and it is reported that there is an accumulated saving of Rs 566-1-5 at the credit of the Institution. The Pujari is stated to have not paid the amount recently, which was due by him as agreed upon. The Amildar is in favour of continuing

No 573 dated 11th January 1897.

D.

E

× 116-12-0 + 42 = (158-12-0) - (12-3-9) being 30-0-0 the amount payable to Govt & the Paditeruva Expenses = 116-8-3

continuing the existing arrangement,
but the Dy Comr does not say
anything on this point. He has
simply stated the facts
4. In February 1904 the Rajasi
presented a petition to this Office
representing his grievances and
praying for the restoration of all
the lands, pointing out that he
had entered into an agreement
for a period of only 3 years but that
already more than 5 years had elapsed.
He further stated that the accumu-
lated savings now available might be utilized
for the repairs of the Institutions,
and that he would be ready in future
to execute at his own cost any repairs
required to the building. This
petition was forwarded to the Dy
Comr for report and submission
of the records.

5 On a reminder petition
received

X Amount. 21- must be 5 years.

received from the Rajasi in may 1904,
the Dy Comrs was informed in
his office Docket no 3615 dated 8.
23rd may 1904 that, if there were
no takers of others, the produce
of the Inam lands for this year
might be given to the possession
of the petitioner viz. applicant
Ramiah until the question was
finally disposed of by Government.

6. It is in reply to these two
petitions referred to in paras 5 & 6
that the Dy Comrs has submitted
the present Communication.

7. From another petition H
received from the same Rajasi
it is observed that he has also borrowed
^
borrowing a Kandayam of Rs 55 each
on the two Kandayam lands (nos 138 & 139)
^

8 In a similar case as
regards the lands attached

5

slip 9

to the Sri Lakshmidevi Temple at-
tached to the Math at Sirsi, the Govt-
directed in their Proc No 1676
dated 28th April 1902, that the
temple lands etc which had been
attached by the Amildar ~~of~~ Sirsi
Kasaringar in 1896, should
immediately be restored to the
Pujaris on certain conditions
and that the attachment should
no longer be continued. This
file is also put up for reference

Submitted

27/7/04

× appd of by the Hon'ble the late Mr. B.L. Rushmelagan.

× Although the Amildar's action was arbitrary and unauthorized, still the Pujari has agreed to that action and has even now stated that the accumulated savings in the hands of Govt may be utilized for repairing the institution. The lands may now be ordered

to be at once restored to the Pujaris and an Estimate called for for the repair of the temple. A draft is submitted.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst

27/7/04

RO 33

RD 28-7-04.

The conditions laid down in the Award regarding the Pujaris to pay an annual sum of Rs 86-12-0 is contained in the arrangement of persons between whom the temples, at present, are divided. There seems no negligence on the part of the Pujaris. They have sufficient funds.

Draft approved

3/8/04

Read

3/8/04

4/8/04

15-2-04

15/2/04

ಅಮ್ಮ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು —

— ಈ ಗುಹೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಲಿಯೊಂದಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಅಳುಕಿನಿಂದ
ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಾಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರಂತೆ. ಆಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ಐದು ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸು.
ಅಮ್ಮ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಯೇ ಇತ್ತು. ಒಳಗೆ ಹುಲಿಯಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಮರಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಜನ್ಮ ನೀಡಿದ್ದ ಹುಲಿ. ಅಪ್ಪ ಒಳಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಅಮ್ಮ ಹುಲಿಯು
ಅಪ್ಪನ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೊರಟರು. ಹುಲಿಯು
ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ
ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು.
ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು.
ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅಪ್ಪ ಮರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಹುಲಿಯು ಅವರ
ಹಿಂದೆ

No. 2399 dy 15-2-04 Encl 2
" 2400 by Government

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S~~ OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1704
dated 15th February 1904 received at the
Muzr: Secretariat
~~Dewan's Office~~ from Noranakthi Subbaya
alias Narasimhaiya ~~pujari~~ pujari
of Lakshmidevi Temple at Noranakthi.
Channarayapatna Taluk ~~praying~~ praying
~~that the lands of the temple may be~~
~~restored by the late Raja to enable him to execute~~
~~repairs to the temple and to do the Raja service~~
~~in the temple~~ &c.
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan district for favour
~~a disposal~~
of report and submission
of the entire records connected
with the case.

Some of the temple lands which were in his enjoyment had been leased out - comprising to others in Vaine. He have sufficient funds accumulated for the repairs of the temple restored to him.

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary
Muzr: Secretariat

BANGALORE
2-1904

15/2/04

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

Sent to Peter

Urgent

189

From

The Muzrai Secretary to the
Govt ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

To

Dy Commr
Hassan Dist.

Dated 19th April 04.
Despatched 19th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 3314
M. No.

This Office No. 2399 dated 15th

~~18~~ February 1904
~~calling for the submission~~
~~of the records connected~~
~~with the petition of Nara-~~
~~nappa Subbanna alias~~
~~Narasimhappa regarding~~
~~the temple Inam lands~~
~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

"Hoffu"

"By Order"

Sd Mudaliar

Muzrai-Secretary.

Rec'd 5/5/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2739
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Refers
to
7/5/04

2nd Reminder

No. 3615-8/23/5/04.

To Encl.

The Dy Commr

Hassan Dt

Dated May 1904.

In continuation

No 2399 dated 15th July'04. No 3314 dated 19th April'04. the originally noted communications from this Office, calling for the submission of the records relating to ~~encroachments~~ the Inam lands, endowed to the Lakshmidevi Temple at Narasamakki, alleged to have been leased out- taken away from the Pujari & to others by the Amildar of the Channarayapatna Taluk some years ago, has the honour to forward herewith

Reminder

Herewith another petition no 2739 dated 4 the instant
received in this Office
from the Gujarat Government —

If the allegations of the Gujarat
be true, it must be observed
that the Minister's action is not justifiable
~~is unreasonable~~ & unreasonable as no Govt. order

2. The Dy Comm is not entitled | was obtained
to submit the entire records | on the subject.

before the end of this month,
as the matter has already
been delayed for more than
3 months — And if there are no Orders of others
~~Otherwise~~, the possession
for this Zone
of the Zamin lands may be given to
~~by~~ the possession of the
with sufficient security,
Gujarat until the ~~the~~ question
is finally disposed of by
Government —

Dfl. &c,
21/5
Offg Secretary

20/5/54

Govt Orders

No 3616 of 23/5/04.

[illegible]

Bank Statement

Ref. No. [illegible]

Date: 20/5/24

To,

The Manager,

[illegible] Bank

[illegible]

Sub: Request for Bank Statement

Dear Sir,

I am writing to request a copy of my bank statement for the period mentioned below. I require this statement for [illegible].

Name	[illegible]
Account No.	[illegible]
Period	[illegible]

Please provide the statement at your earliest convenience. I will collect it from the branch / you may send it to my registered address.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

wrqmt 189

From

The ~~Murrai~~ Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

To Murrai Department.

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Dated

Despatched 16th July 1904

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 307
M. No.

This Office No. 2399 dated 15th February ~~18~~ 1904 calling for the entire records relating to the inam lands endowed to the Lakshmi Devi Temple at Nonakki in the Channarayapatna Taluk

hos 3314 Dt 19. 4. 1904.
" 3615. Dt 25. 5. 1904.

and subsequent reminders marginally noted

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~an early reply~~ that the records therein called for ~~should~~ be submitted without further delay -

"By Order"

Dft. 16/7/04

~~Murrai~~ Secretary.

Murrai Department.

16/7/04

2 Encl.

D.O.

To The Dy Comrs Hussain

My dear Sir,

I beg to invite your attention to this Office D.O. No 2399 dated 15th February 1904 and subsequent two reminders dated 19th April and 23rd May '04, calling for the submission of the records relating to the Devan lands endowed to the Lakshmi-devi Temple at Nara-narasi in the Chamarajanagar Taluk — A kind reminder. Even this day I am writing and send it herewith. As the petitioner has been repeatedly

[illegible] this Office,
I should be obliged by
your kindly sending
a receipt- together with
the records at a very
early date.

Yours truly

16/7/04

16/7/04

F. K. W. Wetherall Esq
[illegible]
[illegible]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
267

1629

144 — 29.6.04

29/6/04

Rs
20/7/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
252.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Sl 3

1904-05.

From P. R. W. Wetherall Esquire
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esquire
Secretary to the Sheristadar of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 18th July 1904

Despatched 18th —Do—

Received

General No. 23.

No. Secy

Enclosure No. one V.P file

Rupees

Submitting a report on the petition of Subbaiya, alias, Narasimhaiya, pujari of Lakshmi Devi Temple at Naranalli, Chennarayapatna Taluk, praying for the restoration of Temple lands, as sufficient funds have accumulated for the repairs of the Temple.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated Hassan 18th June 1904

From,

P. R. W. Wetherall Esquire
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esquire
B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With Reference to Official Memorandum No. 2399 Dated 15th February 1904 and the marginally noted Reminders, forwarding for Report petition of Subbaiya alias Narasimhaiya, pujari of Lakshmi Devi Temple at Naranakere, Chennarayapatna Taluk, I have the honor to submit as follows:—

The Lakshmi Devi Temple Naranakere, Chennarayapatna is with Inam lands

* Docket No. 3314 Dated 19-4-1904
— Do — 3615 Dated 21/23 - 5-1904
— Do — 307 — Do - 16-7-04.

Consisting of 8 Survey numbers in All which were enjoyed by the Pujari who performed only the puja without utilizing any por= =tion of the income for the repairs of the Temple.

2. The Temple therefore fell into disrepair and there were no funds to meet the expenditure thereof. In 1896 the Amildar with the consent of the devotees and the pujari (who on 26th November 1896 gave a Statement before the Amildar to that effect) proposed that two of the Survey numbers assessed at Rs 52/- should be enjoyed by pujari Nara= =simhaiya on condition that he should perform puja &c regularly in the Temple and that he should annually pay Rs 70-4-. to the Temple towards the expenses of Repairs &c and that the remaining 6 Survey numbers should be leased out for Rs 58/8/- (Seven Kandies of paddy valued

Valued at Rs 6/- each and Rs 16/8/- in Cash) and that by such arrange= =ment there would thus be yearly savings of Rs 116-8-3 in the Temple funds as per details in the margin.*

This proposal was approved on 27th 1896 by Mr. B.G. Krishna Iyengar, then Deputy Commissioner. Accordingly the pujari Narasimhaiya executed a lease under

Rs. 70-4-.	Rs 70-4-. to be paid by pujari Narasimhaiya for enjoying 2 Survey nos assessed at Rs 52/- On condition of puja being performed by him.
Rs 42-.	Price of 7 kans. at Rs 6 each being "Gutta" produce of three other Survey nos (dry)
Rs 16-8-.	Lease amount of three other Survey nos (dry) payable by said pujari.
Total 128-12-.	
12-3-9.	Deduct. Jodi due to Government
Rs 116-8-3	Net income to the Temple.

Date of 5th March 1898 for 5 years, on a Mysore Government Stamp paper to the value of Rs 21-. agreeing to the proposal above set forth.

3. The Amildar reports that there is a saving of Rs 566-1-5 at the credit of the Temple and that the existing arrangement may be allowed to continue to provide for

needful funds for repairs &c and the pujari required to pay up the amount which he has recently failed to pay.

4. The Amildar has been ordered to hand over the produce of the Inam lands for this year to the possession of the pujaris after taking sufficient security till the final disposal of the question by Government if there are no takaras of others as requested in para 2 of your Subsequent Docket No. 3615 dated $\frac{21st}{23}$ May 1904

Vernacular papers ending with Chenna-narayapatna Amildar's report No. 63 dated 25th April 1904, are herewith submitted for kind perusal and return

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

M. Fuller

Offg Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Copy sent

Hassan
18th July 1904

SK 4

My dear Sir

In reply to
your D.O. letter dated
16th instant I write
to say that I have
this day made an
official reply to the
reference with the
records relating to the
Lakshmideri Temple
Inam Lands, Noramadka

in Chancery for the
~~visitors~~ Visit. Referred.
to in your D. A. Letter

Yours sincerely

D M Wallace

L. Mauritius & Rodrigues
Secretary
Mysore Defts

Enquiries

Received :-

Letters nos 1704
1267
and 2739 dated 14th
February, 4th and
20th July 1904 respectively,
from Lubdhak alias
Narasimhayya, Enjuri
of the Sri Lakshmidevi
Temple at Narasannapeta
in the Chicacole
Taluk, praying for the
restoration of the Temple
lands which had been
attached and leased
out to others by the
Superintendent of Ethnography.

Annual Letter no
23 dated 18th July 1901
from the Dy. Commissr.

Issued
Receipt 9/8/04

763
5.8.04.

Recd.
5.VIII.04

(2)

Hassan District - Explaining the records connected with the case.

order :— No: 710-11 En 1 File Mcn: 80-1901
Dt 11/8/01.

The temple in question is enclosed with the marginally noted Inam and the Kandayam lands. The temple having been found in a state of disrepair, the Amildar of the Channarayapatna taluk attached & sold the lands and disposed of them as follows :—

(1) Of the Kandayam lands nos 138 and 139 were kept in the possession of the Pujari on condition of his devoting Rs 30 annually for daily expenses

Inam lands	8	Ry	1 — 34	Annamalai — 1 — 12 — "	Ry
			3 — 34	3 — 8 — "	
	49	Wet	— 33	6 — " — "	Wet
Kandayam lands	52	"	— 22	5 — " — "	"
	63	"	— 21	2 — 8 — "	"
	118	"	— 16	2 — 12 — "	"
	138, 139	"	4 — 26	30 — " — "	"
	139	"	2 — 2	22 — " — "	"

expenses in the temple amounting Rs 70-4-0 per annum in addition from the interest of the Institution; and the remaining 3 Kandayam lands and the 3 Devasam lands were all leased out for 7 Candies of paddy and Rs 16-8-0 in cash a year. This

2. This arrangement received the approval of our Rt. Hy. Kinsmiyan, the then Hy Commr and it has continued up to date since 1897.

3. The Rajah complains that he was consulted in this arrangement only for a period of 3 years and that it has continued for

for more than 5 years

and having therefore -

that the accumulated

savings were available

and the conduct of the

Institutions may be ordered

to be utilised towards

the expenses of the building

and that the Law is

may be sanctioned to him -

From the Dy Comm's report -

it is observed that

there is at present one

accumulated savings -

of Rs 566-1-5, and that the expenditure

was for a period of 5 years. From this period has

elapsed -

4. "The Ambition is

in favour of continuing

the existing arrangement,

but the final decision

that it was an irregular

transaction on the part

of

expenses

of the former Dy Commr
to have given effect to
the proposal of the Auditor
and Examiner, without
the sanction of Govt.
The lands attached to
this temple being mostly
Kandayam lands, having
an assessment of Rs 63-4
per annum; it was held
to have made the injunc
tion of Rs 155-8-0 annually
on the two Kandayam
Lands viz 138 & 139.
The Govt therefore are not inform
ed containing the attachment for the
reasons stated in
5. It is represented
that there is already
an accumulated
saving of Rs 566-1-5
in favour of the Institu-
tion, the Govt are pleased
to

× Kandayam 52-0-0
Land tax 3-4-0
For Pasilitrade 30-0-0
For the repairs 70-4-0
155-8-0
indefinite period

to direct that all the lands belonging to the temple be restored to the Anjuri, obtaining from him a muchelika that he should maintain the temple in proper condition in future.

6. The savings of Rs 566-1-5 should be utilized for the benefit of the Institution and an estimate submitted for its repairs.

Dft. 9/8/04

Secretary

Asstt. Dept.

27/7/04

To

The Dy Comm. Hassan for returning the records to the Comptroller, Anjanapura

no: 712 11/8/04

Encl: Nothing

SL6

No: 712
Dt 11/8/0x

To
The Secretary
Revenue Department
Government of Andhra Pradesh
Hyderabad

Sir,

Sub: Revenue Department - Land Revenue - Grant of Ryotwari Patta - Application - Regarding

I have the honour to submit that I am a cultivator residing in Village Kondapuram, Mandal Kondapuram, District Nellore. My family has been in possession of Survey No. 214/1 admeasuring Ac. 3.50 cents and Survey No. 214/2 admeasuring Ac. 2.00 cents in the said village since the year 1961. The said lands were originally granted to my father Sri Venkata Subbaiah under Ryotwari Patta No. 1847 dated 14.6.1961 by the Tahsildar, Kondapuram.

My father died on 3.2.1998 and I succeeded to the said lands. The Patta has not been transferred in my name in the Village Records. I have been paying the land revenue regularly and the last receipt is dated 12.10.2001 for Rs. 47.

I therefore request that the Patta may be transferred in my name and a fresh Patta Pass Book be issued to me.

Yours faithfully,
Kondapuram
11.8.0x

139 "	
138 "	
118 "	
69 "	
52 "	
8 "	
2	

No. 1904/II-X-1, dated the 11th October 1904

From — Secretary to Government

To — The Registrar

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition addressed to the Governor in Council by Mr. [illegible] praying that the Government will be pleased to grant him a lease of the [illegible] for a period of [illegible] years on the terms and conditions [illegible] in the Government Order No. [illegible] dated [illegible] 1897.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,

Secretary to Government